

From: [REDACTED]
To: [SizewellC](#)
Subject: Response to Secretary of States Request for Information - following 25th April 2022 Questions
Date: 23 May 2022 20:50:53

Response to:
The Secretary of States Request for Information 25th April 2022

From: Louise Mangeot
IP unique ref 20026491 23rd April 2022

I welcome the Secretary of States invitation to Interested Parties to send feedback on new information generated in the last few weeks and responses to a questions regarding EDF Sizewell C EN010012.

I have sought to closely engage as an I.P. from the outset of this proposal. I have, like many members of our local community experienced years of insufficient information, insufficient community engagement, obfuscation and years of increasing impact already upon myself, community and environment.

I fully endorse and support the contents of the more detailed response to you made 23rd of May 2022 by Theberton and Eastbridge Parish Council, Middleton Fun Fordley Parish Council, Stop Sizewell C, Minsmere Levels Stakeholders Group and B112 to Action Group.

Personally I initially refer you to my previous 27th August 2021 I.P. response to EDF to which P.I.N.S and local council members were also copied.

Issue: Water Supply and Desalination

There appears to still be no realistic adequate supply of portable water for the operation of the Sizewell C reactors; the more recent proposals regarding desalination do little other than supply additional environmental concerns.

Sizewell Marshes - which are designated as a site of special scientific interest - the Environment Act of 2021 requirements (REP6-075) still are not met by EDFs late compensation plans. These are not enough and inadequate.

I am familiar with with and fully support the actions and content of RSPB 'Love Minsmere' campaign together with their recent visit to Westminster to appeal to the Secretary of State to pay attention to and show support for the protection of this precious internationally recognised area and their work of international importance protecting and improving vital habitats and threatened species.

I'm also familiar with many of the concerns of the specialist organisations responses about habitats regulations assessment and other environmental matters and I leave it to them to have made their more detailed responses. I fully concur and endorse many of their concerns.

Construction - proposals will cause significant biodiversity damage not just at the construction site but also the dune and foreshore - yet EDF makes unsubstantiated claims of biodiversity net gains (REP6-075).

Coastal Erosion

Having lived most of my life close to Thorpeness cliffs and beach, I have perspersonally

been closely involved with: local community engagement and increasing understanding of this rapidly eroding coast;
the updating of shoreline management plans over a number of years and in the following the engineering various proposals and options.

As a founding member of Thorpeness Coastal Futures Group, with involvement supporting our representation and engagement at (S.C.A.R) Suffolk Coast Against Retreat (as it originally was) now Suffolk Coast Acting for Resilience I'm seriously concerned about the high likelihood of long-term significant erosion after Sizewell B end of operating, including exposure at the southern end of the hard coastal defence and EDF's seeming over-reliance on these issues being resolved by the Coastal Processes Monitoring and Mitigation Plan.

Flooding

EDF own assessments acknowledge a significant risk of flood beyond 2140.

2140 is offered by EDF, but seems very unlikely as a realistic end date for all spent fuel removal and decommissioning being completed. Whereas, statutory authorities and EN6 legislation suggest 2190 or dates after this as more realistic.

Highways - Routes

Still no adequate mitigation plans made for prior to starting work on the main site, so B1122 would be intensely heavily used from Yoxford, Middleton Moor, Theberton together with a tardy and inappropriate choice of the Sizewell Link Road route over Route W (D2) preferred by Suffolk County Council and others.

Regarding 'Coastal Considerations section 5', I am grateful for the in-depth, comprehensive response by Nick Scarr

I.P. 20 25524 18th of March 2022.

I fully support the contents and concerns of his report.

Louise Mangeot

██████████